A PRACTITIONER'S GUIDE TO MARITIME BOUNDARY DELIMITATION

STEPHEN FIETTA AND ROBIN CLEVERLY





OXFORD

UNIVERSITY PRESS

Great Clarendon Street, Oxford OX2 6DP, United Kingdom

Oxford University Press is a department of the University of Oxford. It furthers the University's objective of excellence in research, scholarship, and education by publishing worldwide. Oxford is a registered trade mark of Oxford University Press in the UK and in certain other countries

© Stephen Fietta and Robin Cleverly 2016

The moral rights of the authors have been asserted

First Edition published in 2016

Impression: 2

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, without the prior permission in writing of Oxford University Press, or as expressly permitted by law, by licence or under terms agreed with the appropriate reprographics rights organization. Enquiries concerning reproduction outside the scope of the above should be sent to the Rights Department, Oxford University Press, at the address above

You must not circulate this work in any other form and you must impose this same condition on any acquirer

Crown copyright material is reproduced under Class Licence Number C01P0000148 with the permission of OPSI and the Queen's Printer for Scotland

Published in the United States of America by Oxford University Press 198 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10016, United States of America

> British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data Data available

Library of Congress Control Number: 2015960007

ISBN 978-0-19-965747-6

Printed and bound by CPI Group (UK) Ltd, Croydon, CR0 4YY

Links to third party websites are provided by Oxford in good faith and for information only. Oxford disclaims any responsibility for the materials contained in any third party website referenced in this work

SARCHI CHAIR LAW

CONTENTS

Та	ble of	^c Cases	xv
Lis	t of F	ligures	xix
Ab	brevi	ations	xxiii
	A.	COMMENTARY ON THE MODERN INTERNATIONAL	
		LAW OF MARITIME BOUNDARY DELIMITATION	
1.	Con	itext	3
	I.	What is the International Law of Maritime Boundary Delimitation?	3
		The Early History of Maritime Claims and Maritime Boundary	
		Delimitation	5
	III.	The Relevant Zones of Maritime Sovereignty and Jurisdiction	
		under the Modern Law	16
		a. Territorial sea	17
		b. Continental shelf	18 21
		c. Exclusive economic zone ('EEZ') d. The 'régime of islands' under Part VIII of UNCLOS	23
	IV.	The Basis of the Modern Law of Delimitation: Articles 15, 74,	
		and 83 of UNCLOS	24
	V.	'The Land Dominates the Sea'	27
2.	Me	thodology	30
	T	Some Essential Preliminaries in the Delimitation Process	30
	1.	a. Is there a delimitation agreement in force?	30
		b. Coastlines as the foundation for maritime claims	32
		c. The 'baseline from which the breadth of the territorial sea is measured'	33
		d. Low-tide elevations	43 45
		e. Identification of the 'relevant coasts' and the 'relevant area'	4)
	II.	The 'Standard' Methodology in Modern All-Purpose Maritime	
		Delimitations: The 'Three-Stage Approach'	52
		a. Stage one: equidistance	55
		b. Stage two: adjustment of the provisional equidistance line as	
		required by 'special' or 'relevant' circumstances in order to achieve an 'equitable result'	65
		c. Stage three: the 'disproportionality check'	93
		1 1	

Contents

III. S	Situations Where the 'Standard' Methodology Might Be	
I	nappropriate or Inapplicable	95
a	. The existence of a prior delimitation agreement	96
	o. Alternative delimitation methods absent a delimitation agreement	97
	Bisectors: a true surrogate for equidistance	99
	l. Perpendiculars: an approximate surrogate for equidistance . Parallels, meridians, and azimuths	103
e		104
	Special Considerations in the Delimitation of Specific	
	Maritime Zones	106
a	of the office of the original orig	
Ь	special circumstances	106
c	Til	108
		109
	ical Considerations	114
I.	Negotiation or Litigation?	114
	a. The pre-eminence of 'agreement' under Articles 15, 74,	
	and 83 of UNCLOS	114
	b. Novel negotiated outcomes at variance with standard delimitation methodologies	
	c. 'Provisional arrangements of a practical nature'	115
TT		116
11.	Third-Party Dispute Resolution a. The duty to negotiate	122
	b. The duty to litigate/arbitrate	122
	c. Non-appearance by a State party to the dispute	123 126
III		120
111.	Practical Considerations Surrounding the Choice of Forum: ICJ, ITLOS, or Arbitration?	127
17.7		127
IV.	The Life of a Typical Delimitation Case	132
	a. Provisional measuresb. Questions of jurisdiction, scope, and mandate	133
3.7		136
	The Critical Role of Evidence in Modern Delimitation Disputes	141
VI.	Intervention by Third States and Role of Third-State Interests	144
VII.	The Preparation of a Claim and the Importance of an Effective	
	and Well-Managed Delimitation Team	146
	a. The composition of the team	146
	b. The structure, management, and leadership of the team	147
	c. The role of the technical expert	149
VIII.	The Judgment or Award and Its Implementation	152
	a. Different forms of judgment and award	152
	b. Post-judgment or award considerations: revision, interpretation,	
	and related procedures	153
	 Implementation: challenges and pitfalls The role of the technical expert in ensuring the smooth interpretation 	154
	d. The role of the technical expert in ensuring the smooth interpretation and implementation of a delimitation judgment or award	n 156

Contents

В.	COMMENT	TARY ON	JUDGN	MENTS A	AND A	AWARDS	IN
Λ	ARITIME F	BOUNDA	RY DEI	IMITAT	TON	DISPUTE	S

1.	North Sea Continental Shelf Cases (Judgment of the International Court of Justice, 20 February 1969)	161
2.	Argentina v. Chile (Award of the Arbitral Tribunal, 18 February 1977)	177
3.	United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland v. French Republic (Decision of the ad hoc 'Court of Arbitration', 30 June 1977)	186
4.	Dubai-Sharjah Border Arbitration (Award of the ad hoc 'Court of Arbitration', 19 October 1981)	210
5.	Tunisia v. Libya (Judgment of the International Court of Justice, 24 February 1982)	221
6.	Canada v. United States of America (Judgment of the Chamber of the International Court of Justice, 12 October 1984)	243
7.	Guinea v. Guinea-Bissau (Award of the Arbitral Tribunal, 14 February 1985)	264
8.	Libya v. Malta (Judgment of the International Court of Justice, 3 June 1985)	282
9.	Guinea-Bissau v. Senegal (Arbitral Award, 31 July 1989)	297
0.	Canada v. France (Award of the Arbitral Tribunal, 10 June 1992)	311
1.	Denmark v. Norway (Judgment of the International Court of Justice, 14 June 1993)	329
2.	Eritrea v. Yemen (Award of the Arbitral Tribunal in the Second Stage of the Proceedings, Phase II: Maritime Delimitation, 17 December 1999)	343
3.	Qatar v. Bahrain (Judgment of the International Court of Justice (Merits), 16 March 2001)	364
4.	Newfoundland and Labrador v. Nova Scotia (Awards of the Tribunal in the First and Second Phases of an Arbitration Concerning Portions of the Limits of the Parties' Respective Offshore Areas,	
15.	Dated 17 May 2001 and 26 March 2002 Respectively) Cameroon v. Nigeria: Equatorial Guinea Intervening	382
	(Judgment of the International Court of Justice, 10 October 2002)	400

Contents

16. Barbados v. Trinidad and Tobago (Award of the Arbitral Tribunal, 11 April 2006)	420
17. Guyana v. Suriname (Award of the Arbitral Tribunal, 17 September 2007)	439
18. Nicaragua v. Honduras (Judgment of the International Court of Justice, 8 October 2007)	453
19. Romania v. Ukraine (Judgment of the International Court of Justice, 3 February 2009)	471
20. Bangladesh v. Myanmar (Judgment of the International Tribunal for the Law of the Sea, 14 March 2012)	491
21. Nicaragua v. Colombia (Judgment of the International Court of Justice, 19 November 2012)	509
22. Peru v. Chile (Judgment of the International Court of Justice, 27 January 2014)	533
23. Bangladesh v. India (Award of the Arbitral Tribunal, 7 July 2014)	549
C. FUTURE CHALLENGES	
1. The Creeping Subjectivity of Base-Point Selection: A Lurch toward a 'Four-Stage Approach' (or a Return to Equitable Principles)?	575
2. The Inconsistent Approach of Courts and Tribunals in the Adjustment of Provisional Equidistance Lines to Accommodate Geographical Relevant Circumstances	
3. Relevant Coasts, Relevant Areas, and Proportionality: Variances of Approach and a More Discrete Role for	584
Proportionality in the Delimitation Process? 4. Delimitation of the Outer Continental Shelf:	594
Questions of Delineation and Methodology	610
Annex I: Technical Glossary Annex II: Treaty Instruments	621
Annex III: Sample Arbitration Agreements	626 641
Index	653